	Case 1:22-cv-00704-JLT-HBK Documen	t 16 Filed 02/03/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	ENSTERN DISTRICT OF CHER ORIGIN	
9		
10	JEFFREY S. NEDD,	1:22-cv-00704-JLT-HBK (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ODDED DENVING MOTION FOR
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	LANDON BIRD,	(Doc. No. 15)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16		
17		
18	Before the court is Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel. (Doc. No. 15).	
19	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has pending a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
20	filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. No. 1). Petitioner requests that the Court to appoint counsel	
21	to represent him in this matter. (Doc. No. 15).	
22	There is no automatic, constitutional right to counsel in federal habeas proceedings. See	
23	Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 752 (1991); Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th	
24	Cir. 1958). The Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A, however, authorizes this court to	
25	appoint counsel for a financially eligible person who seeks relief under § 2241 when the "court	
26	determines that the interests of justice so require." <i>Id.</i> at § 3006A(a)(2)(B); <i>see also Chaney v</i> .	
27	Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986). Moreover, the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases	
28	in the United States District Courts require the court to appoint counsel: (1) when the court has	
	1	

authorized discovery upon a showing of good cause and appointment of counsel is necessary for effective discovery; or (2) when the court has determined that an evidentiary hearing is warranted. *Id.* at Rs. 6(a) and 8(c). Based upon the record, the Court finds Petitioner has not demonstrated that appointment of counsel is necessary at this stage of the proceedings. Petitioner was able to file his habeas petition and an opposition to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss without the aid of counsel. Further, the Court finds the circumstances of this case at this time do not indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: Petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 15) is **denied without** prejudice. February 2, 2023 Dated: UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:22-cv-00704-JLT-HBK Document 16 Filed 02/03/23 Page 2 of 2